

Ruin probability of the renewal model with risky investment and large claims

WEI Li

School of Finance, Renmin University of China, Beijing 100872, China
(email: weil@ruc.edu.cn)

Abstract The ruin probability of the renewal risk model with investment strategy for a capital market index is investigated in this paper. For claim sizes with common distribution of extended regular variation, we study the asymptotic behaviour of the ruin probability. As a corollary, we establish a simple asymptotic formula for the ruin probability for the case of Pareto-like claims.

Keywords: asymptotics, extended regular variation, renewal risk model, risky investment strategy, ruin probability

MSC(2000): 60G70, 60K30, 60K37

1 Introduction and model

Consider a continuous-time renewal risk model, in which claim sizes X_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots$, constitute a sequence of independent, identically distributed (i.i.d.), and nonnegative random variables with generic random variable X distributed by F , while their arrival times $0 = \tau_0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2 < \dots$ constitute an ordinary renewal counting process

$$N_t = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 1_{(0,t]}(\tau_k), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (1)$$

where $1_{(0,t]}(x)$ denotes an indicator function whose value is 1 if $x \in (0, t]$ and 0 otherwise. Assume that $\{X_k, k = 1, 2, \dots\}$ and $\{N_t, t \geq 0\}$ are mutually independent. This renewal risk model is extended by allowing investments. Suppose that a portion of the surplus is invested into a Black-Scholes type market index whose price process is modeled by a geometric Brownian motion. Thus the wealth process $\{U_t, t \geq 0\}$ of the insurer can be defined by the stochastic differential equation

$$dU_t = cdt - dS_t + \theta U_t(\mu dt + \sigma dW_t), \quad (2)$$

where $c > 0$ is the constant premium rate, $S_t = \sum_{k=1}^{N_t} X_k$ represents aggregate claims during the time interval $(0, t]$, $\mu > 0$ and $\sigma > 0$ are two known parameters, $W = \{W_t, t \geq 0\}$ is a standard Brownian motion independent of $\{X_k, k = 1, 2, \dots\}$ and $\{N_t, t \geq 0\}$, and $\theta \in [0, 1]$ is the constant fraction of the surplus invested into the risky asset. Such a strategy is dynamic in the sense that it requires an instantaneous rebalancing of the portfolio according to price changes.

Received April 1, 2008; accepted December 27, 2008

DOI: 10.1007/s11425-009-0053-3

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 10571167, 70501028), the Beijing Sustainment Fund for Elitist (Grant No. 20071D1600800421), the National Social Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 05&ZD008) and the Research Grant of Renmin University of China (Grant No. 08XNA001)

Citation: Wei L. Ruin probability of the renewal model with risky investment and large claims. *Sci China Ser A*, 2009, 52, DOI: 10.1007/s11425-009-0053-3

The solution of (2) is given by

$$U_t = \exp\{\tilde{\mu}t + \tilde{\sigma}W_t\} \left[u + \int_0^t \exp\{-\tilde{\mu}v - \tilde{\sigma}W_v\} (cdv - dS_v) \right], \quad (3)$$

as is easily derived by Itô's formula, where $U_0 = u > 0$ is the initial surplus, $\tilde{\mu} = \mu\theta - \sigma^2\theta^2/2$, and $\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma\theta$. We intend to study the asymptotic behaviour of the ruin probability of the renewal risk model (3) in the sequel.

Calculation of finite- and infinite-time ruin probabilities in the continuous- and discrete-time renewal risk models has been done by numerous authors; see, for instance, [1–5], among others. Enormous attention has also been paid to the case of large claims in the presence of investments in the literature; see, for instance, [6–10].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 defines ruin probability and other concepts, Section 3 presents the main result, and Section 4 provides the proof.

2 Definitions

Definition 2.1. *The ruin probability of the renewal risk model (3) is defined to be*

$$\Psi(u) = \Pr(U_t < 0, \exists t \geq 0 \mid U_0 = u), \quad u \geq 0. \quad (4)$$

Definition 2.2. *A distribution F on $[0, \infty)$ is said to belong to the class $\mathcal{R}_{-\alpha}$ for some constant $\alpha > 0$ if $\bar{F}(x) = 1 - F(x) > 0$ for all $x \geq 0$ and*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{F}(vx)}{\bar{F}(x)} = v^{-\alpha} \quad \text{for all } v \geq 1. \quad (5)$$

The class \mathcal{R} is the union of all classes $\mathcal{R}_{-\alpha}$ over the range of $\alpha > 0$.

Definition 2.3. *A distribution F on $[0, \infty)$ is said to belong to the class $\text{ERV}(-\alpha, -\beta)$ for some constants α and β , $0 < \alpha \leq \beta < \infty$, if $\bar{F}(x) > 0$ for all $x \geq 0$ and*

$$v^{-\beta} \leq \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{F}(vx)}{\bar{F}(x)} \leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{F}(vx)}{\bar{F}(x)} \leq v^{-\alpha} \quad \text{for all } v \geq 1. \quad (6)$$

The class ERV is the union of all classes $\text{ERV}(-\alpha, -\beta)$ over the range of $0 < \alpha \leq \beta < \infty$.

The extended regular variation described by (6) has been used in the study of precise large deviations by many people; see [11–13], etc. It is well known that ERV is a subclass of the class \mathcal{S} (see Theorem 1 of [14]), where \mathcal{S} is the class of subexponential distributions characterized by the relations $\bar{F}(x) > 0$ for all $x \geq 0$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \bar{F}^{2*}(x)/\bar{F}(x) = 2$ ($F^{2*}(x)$ denotes the 2-fold convolution of $F(x)$). It is usually easier to deal with distributions from the class \mathcal{R} than those from the class ERV because of the well-developed Karamata theory. Although the class ERV is marginally larger than the class \mathcal{R} , we expect that asymptotic results for the class ERV can provide more insight to the study in the subexponential case. For more details of heavy-tailed distributions, the reader is referred to [15, 16].

3 Main result

From now on, all limit relationships are for $u \rightarrow \infty$ unless otherwise stated. For two positive functions $f(\cdot)$ and $g(\cdot)$, we write $f(u) \sim g(u)$ if $\lim f(u)/g(u) = 1$, write $f(u) \gtrsim g(u)$ if $\liminf f(u)/g(u) \geq 1$, write $f(u) \lesssim g(u)$ if $\limsup f(u)/g(u) \leq 1$, and write $f(u) = O(g(u))$ if $\limsup f(u)/g(u) < \infty$.

Consider the renewal risk model (3). We assume throughout this paper that $F \in \text{ERV}(-\alpha, -\beta)$, $1 < \alpha \leq \beta < \infty$, and that

$$\frac{2\tilde{\mu}}{\tilde{\sigma}^2} > \beta. \quad (7)$$

Assumption (7) reflects that the volatility should be dominated by the drift; otherwise, large volatility will lead to the bankruptcy with probability one. See [7, 17] for related discussion.

For later use, let $\xi_k = \tau_k - \tau_{k-1}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, denote the i.i.d. inter-occurrence times with common distribution G on $(0, \infty)$. Recalling (1), the expectation of N_t is

$$\lambda_t = \mathbb{E}N_t = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Pr(\tau_k \leq t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G^{k*}(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (8)$$

where $G^{k*}(t)$ denotes the k -fold convolution of $G(t)$. λ_t defined by (8) is called the renewal function.

Thus, the main result of this paper is given as follows:

Theorem 3.1. *If $F \in \text{ERV}(-\alpha, -\beta)$ for some $1 < \alpha \leq \beta < \infty$ and Assumption (7) holds then the relation*

$$\Psi(u) \sim \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \overline{F}(uy) d\text{LN}(y; \tilde{\mu}t, \tilde{\sigma}^2 t) d\lambda_t \quad (9)$$

stands, where $\text{LN}(y; a, b^2)$ denotes the lognormal distribution with parameters a and b^2 .

Corollary 3.1. *Assume the conditions of Theorem 3.1. When $\beta = \alpha$, i.e. $F \in \mathcal{R}_{-\alpha}$ with some $\alpha > 0$, we have*

$$\Psi(u) \sim \overline{F}(u) \frac{\widehat{G}(\tilde{\mu}\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\sigma}^2\alpha^2)}{1 - \widehat{G}(\tilde{\mu}\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\sigma}^2\alpha^2)}, \quad (10)$$

where \widehat{G} denotes the Laplace transform of the distribution G .

The proofs of Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.1 are left to Section 4.

4 Proofs

4.1 Lemmas

Consider the renewal risk model (3). Introduce

$$Y_k = \exp\{-\tilde{\mu}(\tau_k - \tau_{k-1}) - \tilde{\sigma}(W_{\tau_k} - W_{\tau_{k-1}})\}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (11)$$

which are i.i.d. random variables with the same distribution of $Y_1 = \exp\{-\tilde{\mu}\xi_1 - \tilde{\sigma}W_{\xi_1}\}$.

Lemma 4.1. *Consider the claims $\{X_k, k = 1, 2, \dots\}$ and the sequence $\{Y_k, k = 1, 2, \dots\}$ introduced in (11). If $F \in \text{ERV}(-\alpha, -\beta)$ for some $1 < \alpha \leq \beta < \infty$ then*

$$\Pr\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} X_k \prod_{i=1}^k Y_i > u\right) \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Pr\left(X_k \prod_{i=1}^k Y_i > u\right). \quad (12)$$

Proof. Note that $\{X_k, k = 1, 2, \dots\}$ and $\{Y_k, k = 1, 2, \dots\}$ are independent and that, under the assumption (7), $\mathbb{E}[Y_1^{\alpha-\delta} \vee Y_1^{\beta+\delta}] < 1$ for some $0 < \delta < \alpha$, we have (12) as a straightforward corollary of Theorem 3.1 of [9].

Lemma 4.2. *Let X and Y be two independent and nonnegative random variables, where X has a distribution $F \in \text{ERV}(-\alpha, -\beta)$ for some $1 < \alpha \leq \beta < \infty$ and $\text{E}Y^{\beta+\delta} < \infty$ for some $0 < \delta < \alpha$. Then for some constant $D > 0$,*

$$\liminf \frac{\Pr(XY > u)}{\Pr(X > u)} \geq D\text{E}[Y^{\alpha-\delta} \wedge Y^{\beta+\delta}]. \quad (13)$$

Proof. See Theorem 3.5 of [18].

Lemma 4.3. *If $F \in \text{ERV}(-\alpha, -\beta)$ for some $1 < \alpha \leq \beta < \infty$ then it holds for every $0 < \delta < \infty$, some constant $\tilde{D} > 0$ and all large $u > 0$ that*

$$\bar{F}(u) \geq \tilde{D}u^{-\beta-\delta}. \quad (14)$$

Proof. See Lemma 3.1 of [13].

4.2 Proof of Theorem 3.1

Starting with (4) and using (3), we have

$$\Psi(u) = \Pr\left(u + c \int_0^t \exp\{-\tilde{\mu}v - \tilde{\sigma}W_v\}dv - \sum_{k=1}^{N_t} X_k \exp\{-\tilde{\mu}\tau_k - \tilde{\sigma}W_{\tau_k}\} < 0, \exists t \geq 0\right).$$

Writing $\Delta = c \int_0^\infty \exp\{-\tilde{\mu}v - \tilde{\sigma}W_v\}dv$ and recalling (11), it is easy to see that

$$\Pr\left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty X_k \prod_{i=1}^k Y_i > u + \Delta\right) \leq \Psi(u) \leq \Pr\left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty X_k \prod_{i=1}^k Y_i > u\right). \quad (15)$$

Firstly, consider the upper bound. With Assumption (7), from (15), (12) and (11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(u) &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^\infty \Pr\left(X_k \prod_{i=1}^k Y_i > u\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^\infty \Pr(X_k \exp\{-\tilde{\mu}\tau_k - \tilde{\sigma}W_{\tau_k}\} > u) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_0^\infty \Pr(X \exp\{-\tilde{\mu}t - \tilde{\sigma}W_t\} > u) dG^{k*}(t) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \bar{F}(uy) d\text{LN}(y; \tilde{\mu}t, \tilde{\sigma}^2t) d\lambda_t, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where $\text{LN}(y; \tilde{\mu}t, \tilde{\sigma}^2t)$ denotes the lognormal distribution with parameters $\tilde{\mu}t$ and $\tilde{\sigma}^2t$.

Secondly, consider the lower bound. By (15), for arbitrarily small $\varepsilon > 0$, it holds that

$$\Psi(u) \geq \Pr\left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty X_k \prod_{i=1}^k Y_i > (1 + \varepsilon)u\right) - \Pr(\Delta > \varepsilon u). \quad (17)$$

Employing Theorem 2.1(a) of [19], we obtain that the second term on the right-hand side of (17), for some $\beta < \beta' < 2\tilde{\mu}/\tilde{\sigma}^2$, satisfies that

$$\Pr(\Delta > \varepsilon u) \leq \frac{\text{E}\Delta^{\beta'}}{\varepsilon^{\beta'} u^{\beta'}} = O(u^{-\beta'}). \quad (18)$$

For the first term on the right-hand side of (17), following (16) we have

$$\Pr\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} X_k \prod_{i=1}^k Y_i > (1 + \varepsilon)u\right) \sim \int_0^{\infty} \Pr(X \exp\{-\tilde{\mu}t - \tilde{\sigma}W_t\} > (1 + \varepsilon)u) d\lambda_t. \quad (19)$$

Let $\rho > 0$ be such that $\beta'(1 - \rho) > \beta$. By the definition in (6), we continue to derive the lower bound for (19) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\infty} \Pr(X \exp\{-\tilde{\mu}t - \tilde{\sigma}W_t\} > (1 + \varepsilon)u, \exp\{-\tilde{\mu}t - \tilde{\sigma}W_t\} \leq u^{1-\rho}) d\lambda_t \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[\bar{F}((1 + \varepsilon)u \exp\{\tilde{\mu}t + \tilde{\sigma}W_t\}) 1_{(\exp\{-\tilde{\mu}t - \tilde{\sigma}W_t\} \leq u^{1-\rho})}] d\lambda_t \\ &\gtrsim (1 + \varepsilon)^{-\beta} \int_0^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[\bar{F}(u \exp\{\tilde{\mu}t + \tilde{\sigma}W_t\}) 1_{(\exp\{-\tilde{\mu}t - \tilde{\sigma}W_t\} \leq u^{1-\rho})}] d\lambda_t \\ &\geq (1 + \varepsilon)^{-\beta} \left[\int_0^{\infty} \Pr(X \exp\{-\tilde{\mu}t - \tilde{\sigma}W_t\} > u) d\lambda_t \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^{\infty} \Pr(\exp\{-\tilde{\mu}t - \tilde{\sigma}W_t\} > u^{1-\rho}) d\lambda_t \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Next, we prove that the second integral of (20) is asymptotically negligible when compared with the first integral of (20). By (13), it holds for some $0 < \delta < \alpha$ and some constant $D > 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\infty} \Pr(X \exp\{-\tilde{\mu}t - \tilde{\sigma}W_t\} > u) d\lambda_t \\ &= \bar{F}(u) \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\Pr(X \exp\{-\tilde{\mu}t - \tilde{\sigma}W_t\} > u)}{\Pr(X > u)} d\lambda_t \\ &\geq D\bar{F}(u) \int_0^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[\exp\{-(\alpha - \delta)(\tilde{\mu}t + \tilde{\sigma}W_t)\} \wedge \exp\{-(\beta + \delta)(\tilde{\mu}t + \tilde{\sigma}W_t)\}] d\lambda_t. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

It is easy to check that the integral in (21) is a finite constant. Recalling Assumption (7), for the β' used above we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\infty} \Pr(\exp\{-\tilde{\mu}t - \tilde{\sigma}W_t\} > u^{1-\rho}) d\lambda_t \leq \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[\exp\{-\beta'(\tilde{\mu}t + \tilde{\sigma}W_t)\}]}{u^{\beta'(1-\rho)}} d\lambda_t \\ &= u^{-\beta'(1-\rho)} \int_0^{\infty} \exp\left\{-\left(\tilde{\mu}\beta' - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\sigma}^2\beta'^2\right)t\right\} d\lambda_t = u^{-\beta'(1-\rho)} \frac{\widehat{G}(\tilde{\mu}\beta' - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\sigma}^2\beta'^2)}{1 - \widehat{G}(\tilde{\mu}\beta' - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\sigma}^2\beta'^2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Following from (21), (22) and (14), we obtain

$$\lim \frac{\int_0^{\infty} \Pr(\exp\{-\tilde{\mu}t - \tilde{\sigma}W_t\} > u^{1-\rho}) d\lambda_t}{\int_0^{\infty} \Pr(X \exp\{-\tilde{\mu}t - \tilde{\sigma}W_t\} > u) d\lambda_t} = 0. \quad (23)$$

Therefore, the combination of (19), (20) and (23) gives that

$$\Pr\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} X_k \prod_{i=1}^k Y_i > (1 + \varepsilon)u\right) \gtrsim (1 + \varepsilon)^{-\beta} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \bar{F}(uy) d\text{LN}(y; \tilde{\mu}t, \tilde{\sigma}^2 t) d\lambda_t. \quad (24)$$

Moreover, it follows from (18), (21) and (14) that

$$\lim \frac{\Pr(\Delta > \varepsilon u)}{\int_0^{\infty} \Pr(X \exp\{-\tilde{\mu}t - \tilde{\sigma}W_t\} > u) d\lambda_t} = 0. \quad (25)$$

By the combination of (17), (24), (25) and the arbitrariness of $\varepsilon > 0$, it follows that

$$\Psi(u) \gtrsim \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \overline{F}(uy) d\text{LN}(y; \tilde{\mu}t, \tilde{\sigma}^2t) d\lambda_t. \quad (26)$$

Finally, the combination of (16) and (26) leads to the desired result (9).

4.3 Proof of Corollary 3.1

Rewrite the right-hand side of (9) as

$$\int_0^\infty \left[\int_1^\infty \overline{F}(uy) d\text{LN}(y; \tilde{\mu}t, \tilde{\sigma}^2t) + \int_1^\infty \overline{F}(uy) d\text{LN}(y; -\tilde{\mu}t, \tilde{\sigma}^2t) \right] d\lambda_t. \quad (27)$$

By the definition of $\mathcal{R}_{-\alpha}$ in (5), for every $0 < \delta < \alpha$, there are some constants $C > 0$ and $u_0 > 0$ such that for all $uy \geq u \geq u_0$,

$$\frac{\overline{F}(uy)}{\overline{F}(u)} \leq Cy^{-\alpha+\delta}. \quad (28)$$

By (28), applying the dominated convergence theorem to (27) we derive the relation (10) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(u) &\sim \overline{F}(u) \int_0^\infty \left[\int_1^\infty y^{-\alpha} d\text{LN}(y; \tilde{\mu}t, \tilde{\sigma}^2t) + \int_1^\infty y^{-\alpha} d\text{LN}(y; -\tilde{\mu}t, \tilde{\sigma}^2t) \right] d\lambda_t \\ &= \overline{F}(u) \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty y^{-\alpha} d\text{LN}(y; \tilde{\mu}t, \tilde{\sigma}^2t) d\lambda_t \\ &= \overline{F}(u) \int_0^\infty \exp \left\{ - \left(\tilde{\mu}\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\sigma}^2\alpha^2 \right) t \right\} d\lambda_t = \overline{F}(u) \frac{\widehat{G}(\tilde{\mu}\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\sigma}^2\alpha^2)}{1 - \widehat{G}(\tilde{\mu}\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\sigma}^2\alpha^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgements This paper was completed during a research visit of the author to the University of Iowa. The author thanks the Department of Statistics and Actuarial Science for its hospitality.

References

- 1 Asmussen S, Højgaard B. Approximations for finite horizon ruin probabilities in the renewal model. *Scand Actuar J*, **1999**: 106–119 (1999)
- 2 Avram F, Usábel M. Ruin probabilities and deficit for the renewal risk model with phase-type interarrival times. *Astin Bull*, **34**: 315–332 (2004)
- 3 Cossette H, Landriault D, Marceau E. Ruin probabilities in the discrete time renewal risk model. *Insurance Math Econom*, **38**: 309–323 (2006)
- 4 Stanford D A, Avram F, Badescu A L, et al. Phase-type approximations to finite-time ruin probabilities in the Sparre-Andersen and stationary renewal risk models. *Astin Bull*, **35**: 131–144 (2005)
- 5 Thorin O. On the asymptotic behavior of the ruin probability for an infinite period when the epochs of claims form a renewal process. *Scand Actuar J*, **1994**: 81–99 (1974)
- 6 Chen Y, Ng K W. The ruin probability of the renewal model with constant interest force and negatively dependent heavy-tailed claims. *Insurance Math Econom*, **40**: 415–423 (2007)
- 7 Frolova A, Kabanov Y, Pergamenschikov S. In the insurance business risky investments are dangerous. *Finance Stoch*, **6**: 227–235 (2002)
- 8 Tang Q. Heavy tails of discounted aggregate claims in the continuous-time renewal model. *J Appl Probab*, **44**: 285–294 (2007)
- 9 Tang Q, Tsitsiashvili G. Finite- and infinite-time ruin probabilities in the presence of stochastic returns on investments. *Adv Appl Probab*, **36**: 1278–1299 (2004)

- 10 Tang Q, Tsitsiashvili G. Precise estimates for the ruin probability in finite horizon in a discrete-time model with heavy-tailed insurance and financial risks. *Stochastic Process Appl*, **108**: 299–325 (2003)
- 11 Klüppelberg C, Mikosch T. Large deviations of heavy-tailed random sums with applications in insurance and finance. *J Appl Probab*, **34**: 293–308 (1997)
- 12 Ng K W, Tang Q, Yan J, et al. Precise large deviations for the prospective-loss process. *J Appl Probab*, **40**: 391–400 (2003)
- 13 Tang Q, Su C, Jiang T, et al. Large deviations for heavy-tailed random sums in compound renewal model. *Statist Probab Lett*, **52**: 91–100 (2001)
- 14 Goldie C M. Subexponential distributions and dominated-variation tails. *J Appl Probab*, **15**: 440–442 (1978)
- 15 Bingham N H, Goldie C M, Teugels J L. Regular Variation. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1987
- 16 Embrechts P, Klüppelberg C, Mikosch T. Modelling Extremal Events for Insurance and Finance. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1997
- 17 Dufresne D. The distribution of a perpetuity, with applications to risk theory and pension funding. *Scand Actuar J*, **1990**: 39–79 (1990)
- 18 Cline D B H, Samorodnitsky G. Subexponentiality of the product of independent random variables. *Stochastic Process Appl*, **49**: 75–98 (1994)
- 19 Gjessing H K, Paulsen J. Present value distributions with applications to ruin theory and stochastic equations. *Stochastic Process Appl*, **71**: 123–144 (1997)